

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/693,235 10/20/2000		James G. Clough	09166/002001	8568	
22511	7590 06/04/2002				
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 2800	NEY AVENUE		MOHANDESI, JILA M		
HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/693,23	35	CLOUGH, JAMES	G.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Jila M Mol		3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuse period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. It days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS slication to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this component (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>12 March 2002</u>	<u>2</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)☐ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)	, ,		• • • •	pproved by the Examiner	•			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,	•	by the Examiner.			,			
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for forcian priority ur	ndor 35 II S C & 1	10(a) (d) or (f)				
	All b) Some * c) None of:	ior foreign priority di	ide: 55 0.5.C. § 1	19(a)-(u) or (1).				
a,		focuments have hee	n received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional	application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		•					
Attachmei	nt(s)							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s rmal Patent Application (PTO				

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on March 12, 2002 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,170,176 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- by Rothbart (6,092,314). Rothbart `314 discloses an orthopedic appliance, comprising a wedge (60) for placement under a toe, the wedge having a top surface adapted to support the toe and a lower surface, wherein an angle of inclination between the top surface and the bottom surface is between 1 and 60 degrees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- μβ. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3728

Claims, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Rothbart `314. With respect to claims 4 and 5 which further limits the material of the orthopedic appliance, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the orthopedic appliance from different

Page 3

material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to

select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of

obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 7-9, 15-17 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothbart `314 in view of either Brock `927 or Jacoby `046. Rothbart `314 as described above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the orthopedic appliance having a fastener. Each of Brock `927 and Jacoby `046 disclose fastening an orthopedic appliance to the toe of a wearer to better secure and hold the appliance to the toe of the wearer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide fasterner to the orthopedic appliance of Rothbart `314, as taught by each of Brock `927 and Jacoby `314 to better secure and hold the orthopedic appliance to the toe of the wearer. Claims 18-23 are directed to the obvious method of using the orthopedic appliance of Rothbart `314.

6. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rothbart `314 in view of McMahon et al. (5,881,478). Rothbart `314 as described above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the orthopedic appliance having a concave depression formed in the top surface. McMahon `478 discloses an orthopedic

Art Unit: 3728

appliance having a concave depression (41) formed in its top surface to better hold and secure the flexible coupling elements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a concave depression in the orthopedic appliance of Rothbart `314 to better hold and cradle the toe.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the toe alone) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

A policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3728

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

No Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is 703-305-

7015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

Jila M. Mohandesi Examiner

Art Unit 3728

JMM May 21, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Group 3700